02/03/2004 10:42 6094974570 MASON GRIFFIN AND P PAGE 01/09

MASON, GRIFFIN & PIERSON

RALPH S. MASON (1913-1988) GORDON D. GRIFFIN KESTER R. PIERSON EDWIN W. SCHMIERER CRAIG H. DAVIS (1947-1997) KRISTINA P. HADINGER DONALD B. VEIX, JR. CHARLES F, HARRIS EDMOND M. KONIN\* VACERIE L. HOWE\* SHAWN M. NEUFFLID<sup>†</sup> TRISHKA WATERBURY GEORGIA M, FRASER<sup>†</sup> LISA M. RANDAZZESE! ALLISON S. ZANGRILLI\*\* RAYMOND M. KANG<sup>†</sup> KEVIN P. McMANIMON

JASON J. BUNDICK!

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION COUNSELLORS AT LAW

101 POOR FARM ROAD P.O. BOX 391 PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08542

> TELEPHONE: (609) 921-6543 FACSIMILE: (609) 683-7978

> > mgp@mgplaw.com www.mgplaw.com

February 3, 2004

WILLIAM P. DENI & LISA MARIE ALBANO! 32 Church Street Flemington, NJ 08822

Telephone: (908) 782-2900 Facsimile: (908) 782-2428 E-mail: denitaw@erols.com

ALLEN N. GROSSMAN ※

Princeton Office

券 OF COUNSEL

ALSO ADMITTED IN: •VA **т**п.

United States District Court District of New Jersey Trenton Clarkson S. Fisher Federal Bldg. & U.S. Courthouse 402 E. State St. Trenton, NJ 08608

> Lucienne J. Wolfson v. James A. Williamson and Hamilton Township Re:

Dear Sir/Madam:

I enclose herewith the following documentation in this matter:

- 1. Original and two copies of Complaint and Jury Demand:
- 2. Original and two copies of Civil Cover Sheet:
- 3. My firm's check in the amount of \$150.00 to cover your filing fee in this matter; and
- Disc containing the within documents in PDF format. 4.

Kindly file the aforesaid Complaint and Civil Cover Sheet, and return to me a "filed" copy of same in the enclosed envelope.

I thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Email: cfhairis@

Direct Dial: 609/436.1206

CFH:an Enclosures

### 

JS 44 (Rev. 3/89)

J. (a) PLAINTIFFS

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS=44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of Initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

**DEFENDANTS** 

Lucienne J. Wolfson  (b) county of Residence of First USTED FLANTIFF Mercer  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				James A. Williamson and Hamilton Township 2090 Greenwood Ave., CN 00150 Hamilton, NJ 08650-0150 county of RESIDENCE OF FRET LISTED DEFENDANT Mercer (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES. USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.											
								(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)			
								Mason, Griffin & Pierson, PC 101 Poor Farm Road							
Princeton, NJ	08540 60	)9-921-654	43												
II. BASÍS OF JURISDI	ICTION (PLACE AN 1)	" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF PRIN Diversity Cases Only)		AND ONE BOX FOR DEFEND	ANT)								
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Clitzen of This State Zi 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 14 14 of Business In This State												
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of in Item III)</li> </ul>		1	Mizen of Another State 😅 Mizen or Subject of a 🗅	of Busine	ed and Principal Place (3 ess in Another State									
		<u>-</u>		Foreign Country	3 G a Foreign Na		16 D c								
<del></del>	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ON														
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL II	UURY :	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 180	OTHER STATU									
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotieble Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ 513 Recovery of Overpayment □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment □ 150 Stockholders Sutts □ 150 Stockholders Sutts □ 150 Central Product Liebility  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Proveouseus □ 240 Rest Lesse & Electment □ 240 Test to Land □ 245 Tot Product Liebility □ 250 AR Other Real Property	310 Airplane Product Lian Bity I SED Assault, Libet & Sander 330 Federal Employers' Liability J 340 Marina J 346 Marina Product Liability 360 Montr Vehicle Product Liability \$0 260 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Housing/ Accidency Carlot 443 Housing/ Accidency Carlot 444 Mosting 445 Housing/ Accidency Carlot 445 Housing/ Accidency Carlot 1444 Welfare	me Product in the product is a construct in the product is er in the product in the product in the product is error in the product in the product in the product is error in the product in the		□ 820 Other Food & Drug □ 829 Drug Awshed Selezure of Property 21 USC 891 □ 630 Liquor Law2 □ 640 R.R. & Truck □ 650 Airline Regs. □ 680 Othour dional □ 680 Othour LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standarda Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations □ 740 Labor/Mgmt Reporting ♣ Dieclosure Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	450 Commerce/CC Ri   460 Deportation   470 Redicter influence   Compt Organization   310 Selective Revues   850 Securities/Commo   250 Securities/Commo   250 Securities/Commo   251 Set Customer Challenn   12 USC 3410   851 Agricultural Acts   652 Secondrid Stabilities   653 Servicomerce Mail   654 Secondrid Stabilities   655 Freedom of Information Act   656 Appeal of Peal Date   100 Appeal of Stabilities   100 Appeal of St	350 Benits and Banking     450 Commercia/CC Rates/etc.     460 Ceporation     470 Redester influenced and     Compt Organizations     510 Selective Service     850 Securitive Revision     575 Customer Challenge     12 USC 8410     851 Septimization Act     852 Securities Auto-     853 Environmental Auto-     853 Environmental Mutter     654 Energy Allocation Act     555 Freedom of information Act     950 Appeal of Fee Deterministion     Under Equal Access to Justice     950 Constitutionality of     Seto Statutes								
V. ORIGIN	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 540 Mandemus ☐ 550 Chill Rights ☐ 556 Prison Con	diacu	Ci 794 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant)  1871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609		[D 890 Other Statutery Actions								
v 1 Original □ 2 Rem Proceeding State	e Court Appe	inded from   Blate Court	# Reinsta Reoper	red (specify)	district D e Multici Litigati	Jùḋge f istrict <u>⊓</u> 7 Magistri	ate								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO 42 U.S.C. §§ 19 Constitution	N (CITETHEUS CML STA BONGT CHE WHISING 83 & 1988 and	TUTE UNDER WHICH HONAL STATUTES UN TINE FOUR	You are m NESS DIVE 'th an	and white saler stateme airt. d Fourteenth /	entorcause. Amendments to	o te United Si	ates								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS K	A CLASS ACT	TION	DEMAND \$	JURY DEA	S only if demanded in co	mplaint:								
VIII.RELATED CASE(	S) (See instructions): JU	DGE	DOCKET NUMBER												
February 3, 200	4	SKINATURE OF A	Topole o	FRECORD											
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		J.10025	MAG.	JUDGE -									

02/03/2004 10:42 6094974570 MASON GRIFFIN AND P PAGE 03/09

Case 3:04-cv-00444-GEB-JJH Document 1 Filed 02/04/04 Page 3 of 9 PageID: 3

MASON, GRIFFIN & PIERSON, P.C.

101 Poor Farm Road P. O. Box 391

Princeton, NJ 08542-0391

(609) 921-6543

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Lucienne Wolfson

By: Charles F. Harris [4405]

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

LUCIENNE J. WOLFSON,

: CIVIL ACTION NO.:

Plaintiff,

VS.

JAMES A. WILLIAMSON, individually and: in his official capacity, and HAMILTON: TOWNSHIP,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Lucienne J. Wolfson, by way of Complaint against Defendants, James A. Williamson, individually and in his official capacity, and Hamilton Township, hereby states as follows:

#### JURISDICTION

1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988 and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and under the common law of the State of New Jersey against James Williamson, individually and in his official capacity, and against Hamilton Township. Jurisdiction over this matter is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and on supplemental jurisdiction this Court has over related State law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff is an individual currently residing at 13 Arvida Drive, Pennington, New Jersey 08534.
- 3. Defendant James A. Williamson (hereinafter "Officer Williamson") was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, an individual citizen and a duly appointed police officer with the Hamilton Township Police Department, authorized to exercise the functions and perform the duties of that position, and actually engaged in the exercise of such functions and the performance of such duties.
- 4. Defendant Hamilton Township is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey and employs Officer Williamson, with a principal place of business located at 2090 Greenwood Avenue, CN 00150, Hamilton, New Jersey 08650-0150.

#### CAUSES OF ACTION

#### COUNT I (False Arrest)

5. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.

- 6. On or about January 6, 2003, Plaintiff was operating a motor vehicle in the Township of Hamilton, State of New Jersey.
- 7. While Plaintiff was operating her motor vehicle, Officer Williamson, while acting in the course of his duties as a Hamilton Township Police Officer, effected a motor vehicle stop of Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 8. During the motor vehicle stop, Officer Williamson verbally abused Plaintiff, made derogatory comments to Plaintiff about her nationality and slammed Plaintiff's cellular phone on the roof of her vehicle, destroying it.
- 9. Officer Williamson issued summonses to Plaintiff charging her with motor vehicle violations, as well as the disorderly persons offense of Obstruction of the Administration of Law, in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:29-1A.
- 10. Officer Williamson also arrested Plaintiff, handcuffed her, used an unreasonable amount of physical force to place Plaintiff in a patrol car and transported her to the Hamilton Township Police Department Headquarters, where she remained, handcuffed, for an unreasonably long period of time in an unreasonably uncomfortable position.
  - 11. The arrest of Plaintiff was malicious and without probable cause.
- 12. On June 9, 2003, Plaintiff appeared for trial in the Hamilton Township Municipal Court on the above-referenced summonses. After a trial, the municipal court judge found Plaintiff not guilty of the disorderly persons offense of Obstruction of the Administration of Law and dismissed that summons. Furthermore, Plaintiff was found guilty only of less serious motor vehicle violations than those Officer Williamson charged Plaintiff with violating.

13. As a result of Officer Williamson's actions, Plaintiff has suffered unnecessary physical injuries, humiliation and emotional trauma, as well as damage to personal property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, plus interest, attorneys' fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT II (Malicious Prosecution)

- 14. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.
- 15. Officer Williamson initiated and pursued the prosecution of Plaintiff on the motor vehicle violations and the disorderly persons offense without probable cause to believe Plaintiff committed said violations.
  - 16. Officer Williamson's initiation and pursuit of such charges was malicious.
- 17. After a trial on the merits of such charges, Plaintiff was found not guilty of the disorderly persons offense and was found guilty only of less serious motor vehicle violations than those Officer Williamson charged Plaintiff with violating.
- 18. As a result of Officer Williamson's actions, Plaintiff has suffered unnecessary physical injuries, humiliation and emotional trauma, as well as damage to personal property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, plus interest, attorneys' fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# **COUNT III - INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

07/09

- 19. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.
- 20. Plaintiff, whose date of birth is December 19, 1939, was 63 years of age at the time of this incident.
- 21. For the duration of Plaintiff's interaction with Officer Williamson, Officer Williamson spoke to Plaintiff in an abusive, antagonistic and intimidating tone of voice.
- 22. When Officer Williamson placed Plaintiff under arrest, he spun her around violently, handcuffed her and dragged her backwards to his patrol car. He then pushed Plaintiff into the patrol car with unnecessary force.
- 23. Officer Williamson refused, after Plaintiff requested that he do so, to retrieve Plaintiff's heart medication from her car.
- 24. Officer Williamson left Plaintiff's brother at the scene of the motor-vehicle stop in the passenger seat of her vehicle, with the car windows open to the extreme cold weather, and without the keys to the vehicle.
- 25. Upon arriving at the Hamilton Township Police Department Headquarters, Officer Williamson violently pulled Plaintiff out of the patrol car.
- 26. Plaintiff was then shackled to a stationary bar at the Police Department Headquarters for an unreasonably long period of time.
- 27. While at Police Department Headquarters, a Hamilton Township police officer told Plaintiff she was arrested because she was "arrogant."

Case 3:04-cv-00444-GEB-JJH Document 1 Filed 02/04/04 Page 8 of 9 PageID: 8

28. Officer Williamson, and other police officers from the Hamilton Township Police Department, acted intentionally and/or recklessly.

- 29. Officer Williamson, and other police officers from the Hamilton Township Police Department, intended that their conduct would cause the Plaintiff emotional distress, or they acted recklessly in deliberate disregard of a high degree of probability that their actions would cause Plaintiff emotional distress.
- 30. Officer Williamson's conduct, and the conduct of other police officers from the Hamilton Township Police Department, was so outrageous in character and extreme in degree that it went beyond all bounds of decency.
- 31. Plaintiff suffered physical injury and severe emotional distress as a direct and proximate result of Officer Williamson's conduct and the conduct of other police officers from the Hamilton Township Police Department.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, plus interest, attorneys' fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

MASON, GRIFFIN & PIERSON, P.C.

Attorney's for Plaintiff, Lucienne J. Wolfson

Charles F. Harris

Dated: February 3, 2004

02/03/2004 10:42 6094974570 MASON GRIFFIN AND P PAGE 09/09

Case 3:04-cv-00444-GEB-JJH Document 1 Filed 02/04/04 Page 9 of 9 PageID: 9

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues in this action.

MASON, GRIFFIN & PIERSON, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Lucienne J. Wolfson

By:

Charles F. Harris